GOA INFORMATION COMMISSION

Ground Floor, "Shrama Shakti Bhavan", Patto Plaza, Panaji.

2nd Appeal No: 01 / 08-09 /

Shri Appa Bablo Gaunkar, Hno: 117, Bazarvaddo, Molem, Sanguem - Goa.

..... Appellant

V/s

 The First Appellate Authority, The Director, Directorate of Panchayats, Panaji - Goa.

..... Respondent No.1..

CORAM:

Shri A. Venkataratnam
State Chief Information Commissioner
&
Shri G. G. Kambli
State Information Commissioner

(Per G. G. Kambli)

Dated: 09/07/2008.

Appellant absent.

Respondent represented by Shri K. B. Salgaonkar, Head Clerk.

ORDER

By this order, we will dispose off the appeal filed by the Appellant against the Respondent under section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005 (for short the Act).

- 2. The facts of the case in brief are that the Appellant vide his application dated 15/01/2008 sought certain information in respect of Shri Antonio Santana Baretto who was working in Village Panchayat of Sernabatim-Vanelim-Colva-Gandaulim and Calata-Majorda-Utorda. In the said application the Appellant also sought certain details of the calculations of pensionary benefit applicable to the post of the supervisor of the Village Panchayat of Molem.
- 3. The Respondent marked the said application to the Dy. Director of Panchayat (North) who is the Public Information Officer for the Office of Directorate of Panchayat. The Dy. Director of Panchayat (North) in turn forwarded the application to the Dy. Director of Panchayats(South) as the information sought by the Appellant was pertaining to the Village Panchayats. The Dy. Director of Panchayat (South) forwarded the application to the

- 2 -

concerned Village Panchayat Secretary of the respective Village Panchayat

under section 6 (3) of the Act as the Village Panchayat Secretary is the Public

Information Officer. The Village Panchayat Secretary of Sernabatim-Vanelim-

Colva-Gandaulim has provided the information to the Appellant. Similarly, the

Village Panchayat Secretary of Majorda-Utorda -Calata also provided the

information to the Appellant.

4. The Appellant having not satisfied with the information provided by

these Village Panchayat Secretaries has directly filed the appeal before this

Commission. The Respondent filed the reply. The Respondent raised the

objection stating that the present appeal is not maintainable before this

Commission, as the Appellant has not filed the 1st appeal before the Block

Development Officer who is appointed as the First Appellate Authority under

the Act.

5. A 2nd Appeal to this Commission lies under sub-section (3) of section 19

of the Act against the decision of the First Appellate Authority made under

section 19 (1) of the Act. The Appellant has not preferred any appeal before

the 1st Appellate Authority under section 19 (1) of the Act. The Appellant is

not challenging the decision of the FAA before this Commission. It is also to be

noted that the each Panchayat is an independent Authority and each Panchayat

is having separate and independent Public Information Officer as can be seen

from the order dated 15/03/2007 produced by the Respondent. Hence, the

present appeal is not maintainable, as the Appellant is not challenging any

decision of the First Appellate Authority. We therefore dismiss the Appeal as

not maintainable.

Pronounced in the open Court on this 9th day of July 2008.

Sd/-

(G. G. Kambli)

State Information Commissioner

Sd/-

(A. Venkataratnam)

State Chief Information Commissioner